Case: 4:19-cv-00260-BYP Doc #: 12-3 Filed: 03/11/19 1 of 4. PageID #: 134

\* Rev. 5/18/2016

## **ATTACHMENT NO. 3**

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

		)	CASE NO	).	
	Plaintiff,	)	JUDGE B	ENITA Y. PEARSON	
v.	,	) )		ERY PLAN	
	Defendant.	) )	(See Fed. 1 and LR 16	R. Civ. P. 26(f) 5.3(b)(3))	
1.	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P	. 26(f)	and LR 16	.3(b)(3), a meeting was held on	
	, 20,	at			and
was attended	by:				
	cc	ounsel	for plaintif	f(s)	
	cc	ounsel	for plaintif	f(s)	
	cc	ounsel	for defenda	ant(s)	
	cc	ounsel	for defenda	ant(s)	
2.	The parties recommend th	e follo	wing track	:	
	Expedited	St	andard	Administrative	
	Complex	M	ass Tort		
3.	This case is suitable for or	ne or n	nore of the	following Alternative Dispute	
Resolution ("A	ADR") mechanisms:				
	Early Neutral Evalu	ıation		Summary Jury Trial	
	Mediation			Summary Bench Trial	
	Arbitration			Case is not suitable for ADR	
				at this time	

THIS DISCOVERY PLAN MUST BE FILED 5 CALENDAR DAYS BEFORE THE CMC

Lead counsel and clients must attend CMC unless explicitly excused. This applies to telephonically held CMC's.

## Case: 4:19-cv-00260-BYP Doc #: 12-3 Filed: 03/11/19 2 of 4. PageID #: 135

	4.	The partiesdo/do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States
Magist	rate Ju	dge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).
	5.	<u>Initial Disclosures:</u> (check one)
		a) Plaintiff made initial disclosures on
	and al	other parties made initial disclosures on
		Objections to initial disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)are/ are not
	made	If there <u>are</u> objections, they are specified along with the identity of the objecting
	party i	n an appendix to this Discovery Plan. The objecting party requests that the Court
	rule w	ith respect to these disclosures at the Case Management Conference.
		b) Initial Discovery Protocols were entered in this case:
		i) The plaintiff's Initial Discovery was provided on
		ii) The defendant's Initial Discovery was provided on
		c) This is an ERISA case and does not require initial disclosures. See
	¶ 6 fo	r suggested briefing schedule.
	6.	Subsequent proceedings (for ERISA cases):
		a) Defendant shall file the entire administrative record by
		b) Plaintiff shall file the opening brief contemplated by <i>Wilkins v. Baptist</i>
	<u>Health</u>	ncare System, Inc., 150 F.3d 609, 619 (6th Cir. 1998) (Gilman, J., concurring) by
		c) Defendant shall respond by
		d) Plaintiff shall reply by
		e) There shall be no discovery in this case except as set forth in <i>Wilkins</i> .

is to b	s to be sought and the nature and extent of discovery, including any limitation on t				
numb	er of in	aterrogatories, the number and/or length of depositions, and/or the number			
reques	sts for	admission.			
	1)				
	b)	The parties (indicate one):			
		agree that there will be no discovery of electronically-stored			
		information; or			
		have agreed to a method for conducting discovery of electroni			
		stored information; or			
		have agreed to follow the default standard for discovery of			
		electronically-stored information ( <u>Appendix K to N.D. Ohio Local R</u>			
	c)	The parties have/ have not reached an agreement regarding			
handli	ing of o	disclosed privileged material. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(iv). If th			
		reached an agreement for asserting claims of privilege or of protection			
_		tion material after information is produced, please provide the agreement			
_	_	reements reached under Fed. R. Evid. 502.			

		mended dispositive motion date:	
		mended cut-off for amending the pleadings and/or adding	
		ies:	
	g) Recom	mended date for a Status Conference:	
8.	Other matters for the attention of the Court:		
_			
		Attorney for Plaintiff(s)	
		Attorney for Plaintiff(s)	
		A ( )	
		Attorney for Defendant(s)	
		Attorney for Defendant(s)	
	Objection	ns, if any, to initial disclosures are appended.	